

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/108,189	07/01/1998	HOWARD TANNER	23660-00611	9021
25243 7590 06/28/2007 KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP 3050 K STREET, NW SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20007			EXAMINER	
			MACNEILL, ELIZABETH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

H	
ш,	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
TANNER ET AL.		
Art Unit		
3767		
	TANNER ET AL.  Art Unit	

	Elizabeth R. MacNeill	3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		00000
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>115 and 120-135</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>104-109</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)			\
13. Other: KEVIN SUPERVISORY	C. SIRMONS PATENT EXAMINER	Elizabeth MacNeill	alle
Meion	I homon	Elizabeth Wacivelli	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The two catheters of Gross meet the limitations of two introducer sheath devices because they are obth used to inoduce a medical device and both protect the walls of the arteries. Applicant has not claimed that the devices are separate or unique. The rejection is maintained.